

APPEAL NO. 030611  
FILED APRIL 17, 2003

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was convened on August 18, 2002, and continued to and held on February 18, 2003. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issues by deciding that the appellant's (claimant) compensable injury of \_\_\_\_\_, extends to include a shoulder injury in the form of a shoulder contusion, but it does not extend to include a cervical facet sprain; and that the claimant did not have disability as a result of the compensable injury. The claimant appealed the hearing officer's determinations and the respondent (carrier) responded, urging affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

The issues of extent of injury and disability are questions of fact. Section 410.165(a) provides that the contested case hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence as well as of the weight and credibility that is to be given the evidence. It was for the hearing officer, as trier of fact, to resolve the inconsistencies and conflicts in the evidence. Garza v. Commercial Insurance Company of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701, 702 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ). This is equally true regarding medical evidence. Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286, 290 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). The trier of fact may believe all, part, or none of the testimony of any witness. Taylor v. Lewis, 553 S.W.2d 153, 161 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1977, writ ref'd n.r.e.); Aetna Insurance Co. v. English, 204 S.W.2d 850 (Tex. Civ. App.-Fort Worth 1947, no writ). An appeals-level body is not a fact finder and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied). When reviewing a hearing officer's decision for factual sufficiency of the evidence we should reverse such decision only if it is so contrary to the overwhelming weight of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); Pool v. Ford Motor Co., 715 S.W.2d 629, 635 (Tex. 1986). Applying this standard, we find sufficient evidence in the record to support the hearing officer's resolution of the issues before him.

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **TWIN CITY FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**JIM ADAMS, ATTORNEY  
450 GEARS ROAD, SUITE 500  
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77067.**

---

Gary L. Kilgore  
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

---

Thomas A. Knapp  
Appeals Judge

---

Roy L. Warren  
Appeals Judge